

Tasting Does Not Occur in the Tongue Alone

Author: Chef Ahmad Maadarani

Article Code: MCIJ-ART-20260726-173048

Archive Code: IUOAMC-MV2-2026-FNS-001

Published: 2026-07-26 17:32:05

□

Tasting Does Not Occur in the Tongue Alone

From Chemical Taste to Flavor Construction in the Brain: A Study of the Integration of the Tongue, Retronasal Olfaction, and Oral Sensation

Introduction

One of the most common errors in understanding tasting is the assumption that the tongue is the sole organ responsible for the experience of food and the construction of flavor. Although the tongue plays an essential role in detecting tastes, it cannot independently produce the aromatic complexity associated with coffee, vanilla, citrus fruits, herbs, spices, or grilled meat.

People do not consume food with the tongue alone. They see, smell, touch, and move it inside the mouth. They perceive its temperature, texture, and chemical effects and compare these signals with previous experiences, memories, and expectations. The brain then integrates this information into a unified experience known as flavor.

When someone says that a dessert “tastes of vanilla,” coffee has a “roasted taste,” or a dish has an “herbal taste,” the word *taste* is being used in its broad everyday meaning. Scientifically, vanilla, roasting, and herbs are not basic tastes independently detected by the tongue. They are aromatic identities whose perception depends substantially on the olfactory system, particularly retronasal olfaction during oral processing.

This study examines how flavor is constructed, distinguishes among taste, odor, flavor, and tasting, and explains the integration of the tongue, nose, oral-sensory systems, and brain. It also examines the implications of this understanding for sensory training, judging-form design, and the achievement of accuracy, consistency, and fairness in professional evaluation.

The study begins with a clear central principle:

The tongue detects taste, but the brain constructs flavor.

First: The Difference Between Taste, Flavor, and Tasting

In everyday language, the word *taste* is often used to describe the entire food experience. Scientific usage, however, requires a distinction among concepts that may appear similar but perform different functions.

Taste

Taste is the sensation produced primarily when dissolved compounds interact with gustatory receptors located in the taste buds and oral cavity. The most widely recognized basic tastes are:

Sweetness. Saltiness. Sourness. Bitterness. Umami.

The gustatory system can therefore identify important aspects of the chemical composition of food, but it cannot independently determine its detailed aromatic identity.

A person may recognize that a sample is sweet but require smell to determine whether it has a strawberry, vanilla, or mango flavor. Similarly, a person may detect bitterness in a beverage, but identifying it as coffee, cocoa, or a botanical extract depends on additional aromatic and sensory information.

Odor

Odor is the perception produced when volatile compounds reach the olfactory receptors. These compounds may arrive from the external environment through the nostrils or from food inside the mouth through the posterior pathway leading to the olfactory region.

Flavor

Flavor is the complex experience created through the integration of taste, retronasal aroma, texture, temperature, chemical stimulation, and other sensory and perceptual information.

Flavor is therefore not scientifically synonymous with taste. Taste is one of its components, not the entire experience.

Tasting

Tasting is the act of receiving and analyzing the properties of food or drink. It may be a spontaneous everyday experience, an organized sensory analysis, a professional evaluation, or formal judging intended to produce a documented decision.

The following principle can therefore be adopted:

Taste is a component of flavor; flavor results from sensory integration; and tasting is the process through which this system is perceived, interpreted, and evaluated.

Second: What Can the Tongue Do?

The tongue is central to the detection of tastes. It also helps move food, mix it with saliva, form the bolus, and direct it toward swallowing. Its movements contribute to breaking down food and releasing aromatic compounds.

However, the tongue is not an independent and complete flavor-producing organ. It cannot independently recognize the thousands of aromas and aromatic identities that distinguish food products.

The old belief that the tongue is divided into exclusive regions—with the tip detecting only sweetness and the back detecting only bitterness—is an inaccurate oversimplification. Multiple areas of the tongue can respond to different tastes. Relative differences in sensitivity may exist, but there is no closed and exclusive region for each taste.

Basic tastes do not function independently of one another. Sweetness may reduce perceived bitterness or sourness, and an appropriate amount of salt may enhance certain aspects of sweetness or savory depth. Excessive concentration of one component, however, may obscure other characteristics.

Professional taste evaluation must therefore examine more than the presence of sugar, salt, or acid. It should consider:

- The type of taste. Its intensity. The timing of its appearance.

- Its relationship with other tastes. Its persistence after swallowing.

- Its compatibility with the product's identity.

- The presence of imbalance, excess, or deficiency.

- Its effect on the product's final balance.

Third: Orthonasal Olfaction and the Construction of Expectation

Orthonasal olfaction occurs when volatile compounds from the external environment enter through the nostrils. It is the pathway used when smelling food before eating, approaching a cup of coffee, or entering a place filled with the aroma of bread or spices.

Orthonasal olfaction helps create an initial impression and expectations before food enters the mouth. An aroma may suggest ripeness, freshness, burning, fermentation, oxidation, or the presence of particular spices.

However, aromatic expectation does not always correspond to the experience that develops during consumption. An orthonasal aroma may be strong and then weaken inside the mouth. Alternatively, it may appear limited before consumption but be released progressively during chewing.

A professional evaluator should therefore distinguish among:

Aroma before consumption. Aroma during chewing. Aroma after swallowing.

The final aromatic aftereffect.

Combining these stages into one early judgment may produce an incomplete evaluation that fails to capture flavor development over time.

Fourth: Retronasal Olfaction and Its Central Role in Flavor

Retronasal olfaction occurs when volatile aromatic compounds are released from food inside the mouth and travel through the pharynx to the nasal cavity and olfactory region during chewing, swallowing, and exhalation.

Several factors contribute to this process:

Breakdown of the food structure. Mixing food with saliva.

Changes in the sample's temperature inside the mouth.

Movement of the tongue and jaw. Duration of chewing.

The characteristics of fat and water. Swallowing.

Airflow from the mouth toward the nasal cavity.

Although the olfactory system receives these compounds, people often experience their identity as though it existed inside the mouth. This is why many people describe aroma as “taste.”

Neuroimaging studies have shown that the route through which an odor reaches the olfactory system influences its processing. Odors delivered retronasally may be perceptually referred to the mouth differently from odors received through the nostrils. The neural response may also vary according to whether an odor is food-related or non-food-related.

Orthonasal and retronasal olfaction therefore activate the olfactory system but perform overlapping rather than identical perceptual functions:

Element	Orthonasal olfaction	Retronasal olfaction
Source of compounds	External environment	Food inside the mouth
Route of delivery	Through the nostrils	
	From the mouth and pharynx toward the nose	
Principal timing	Before consumption	During chewing, swallowing, and exhalation
Usual perceived location	An external source	Food inside the mouth
Principal function	Recognition and expectation	Construction of flavor identity

Fifth: Why Does Aroma Seem Like Taste?

This phenomenon is known as the **oral referral of odor**. It means that the brain attributes retronasal aroma to food inside the mouth rather than experiencing it as originating in the olfactory region.

When a sweet beverage containing vanilla aroma is consumed, two different signals occur:

The gustatory system detects sweetness.

The olfactory system detects vanilla aroma.

Because these signals appear at approximately the same time and are associated with the same food source, the brain integrates them into a unified experience. The result in everyday awareness is not separate sweetness and odor, but a “sweet vanilla flavor.”

The degree of congruence between odor and taste affects the strength of this integration. Experimental research has shown that congruence is important for the oral referral of retronasal aroma. An aroma normally associated with sweetness may integrate with sweet taste more readily than an aroma that is not associated with sweetness in previous food experience.

These relationships may be acquired through learning, repetition, and culture. When a particular aroma is repeatedly paired with a particular taste, the brain learns the relationship. One component may subsequently predict the other or influence its perceived intensity.

This effect is not necessarily identical among all individuals. It may vary according to experience, food environment, language, age, training, and cultural context.

Sixth: The Flavor Object as a Perceptual Construction

The concept of the **flavor object** can be used to describe the unified perceptual entity constructed by the brain from different sensory signals associated with one food or beverage.

People do not normally experience a separate list stating:

There is sweetness. There is vanilla aroma. There is warmth. There is viscosity.

There is a fatty sensation.

Instead, they experience one entity, such as “a warm, sweet, smooth, and rich vanilla cream.”

This entity results from the integration of several components: $F = I(G + R + O + S + T + C + M +$

E) Where:

- F: perceived flavor.
- G: gustatory signal.
- R: retronasal olfaction.
- O: orthonasal olfaction and aromatic expectation.
- S: somatosensory information and mouthfeel.
- T: temperature.
- C: chemical sensation.
- M: memory and acquired experience.
- E: expectation, context, and emotion.
- I: neural and perceptual integration.

This formula is not a finalized clinical equation for measuring flavor. It is a conceptual model illustrating the diversity of flavor's sources.

The brain does not combine these elements through simple arithmetic. It may enhance, suppress, or reinterpret individual components according to congruence, context, previous experience, and the evaluator's physical and psychological condition.

Seventh: The Brain Constructs Flavor Rather Than Receiving It Ready-Made

Gustatory, olfactory, and somatosensory signals travel through specialized neural pathways. They then converge within brain networks involved in recognition, integration, memory, emotion, value assessment, and decision-making.

The insular cortex contributes to taste processing, while olfactory areas process odors. The orbitofrontal cortex also participates in integrating taste, aroma, texture, and the motivational value of food.

Functional magnetic resonance imaging studies have shown that odors delivered through the mouth can activate regions associated with smell, memory, emotion, and sensory integration. These include the piriform cortex, orbitofrontal cortex, insula, amygdala, and hippocampal regions. This helps explain how retronasal aroma becomes part of an experience that appears taste-like.

A study published in 2019, using an animal model and neural inactivation techniques, found that inactivating gustatory cortex impaired the expression of preferences associated with retronasal odors without producing the same effect on orthonasal odors. This finding suggests a distinctive functional relationship between retronasal olfaction and taste-processing circuits.

A more recent human study using neuroimaging and multivariate pattern analysis found that tastes and their associated retronasal odors may evoke overlapping flavor-specific neural representations in the insular cortex, particularly in the ventral anterior insula. This may help explain how certain aromas associated with sweet or savory tastes can evoke taste-like qualities without direct stimulation from a tastant.

These findings do not mean that the nose literally tastes or that taste and smell are anatomically one sense. They indicate that signals originating from different sensory systems can interact within shared or closely connected neural networks to construct flavor identity.

Eighth: Why Do People Think They Have Lost Taste When Their Nose Is Blocked?

When the nose is blocked or olfactory function is impaired, a person may remain able to perceive:

The sweetness of sugar. The saltiness of salt. The sourness of acids.

The bitterness of certain compounds. The umami character of broth or meat.

Nevertheless, foods may seem dull or similar because an important part of their aromatic identity has become weak or absent.

A person may recognize that a sample is sweet but be unable to distinguish fully among strawberry, vanilla, and mango when volatile compounds do not reach the olfactory receptors efficiently.

The statement “I have lost my taste” may therefore accurately describe the person’s subjective experience without precisely identifying which sensory function has been affected.

This explanation should not be treated as an automatic medical diagnosis. Genuine taste disorders exist, and taste and smell disorders may occur together. A distinction should be made among:

Reduced detection of basic tastes. Reduced orthonasal olfaction.

Reduced retronasal olfaction. Difficulty recognizing flavor.

Inability to name an odor despite detecting it. Temporary sensory adaptation.

Fatigue caused by repeated sampling.

Effects of medication, smoking, or health conditions.

Ninth: The Mouth as a Dynamic Environment for Flavor Construction

The mouth is not a passive container in which a sample is placed. It is a constantly changing mechanical, chemical, and thermal environment.

During chewing:

The physical structure of food is broken down. The exposed surface area increases.

Compounds mix with saliva. The temperature of the sample may rise or fall.

Volatile compounds are gradually released.

Air travels toward the posterior nasal pathway.

Taste, aroma, and texture change over time.

Experiments have shown that oral movements, swallowing, and spitting can alter perceived retronasal odor intensity, confirming that odor perception during eating is a dynamic process.

This demonstrates the importance of texture in flavor design. Two products may contain similar aromatic compounds but release them at different rates because of differences in:

Viscosity. Fat content. Moisture. Porosity. Temperature. Dissolution rate.

Chewing requirements. Time spent inside the mouth.

A solid product may gradually release aromas during continued chewing, while a light liquid may release its aroma quickly and then lose it rapidly. Fat may retain some volatile compounds and delay their appearance, while heat may increase the release of certain compounds.

Flavor should therefore be evaluated as a temporal process with a beginning, development, peak, and conclusion, rather than as a fixed moment.

Tenth: Texture, Temperature, and Chemical Sensation

The food experience includes information that does not belong directly to taste or smell, including:

Hardness and brittleness. Viscosity and density. Smoothness and roughness.

Dryness and juiciness. Fatness and astringency. Warmth and coldness.

Tingling and effervescence. Chili heat. Menthol cooling.

Mustard and ginger pungency.

These elements contribute to mouthfeel and help determine the identity and quality of the product. A dish may possess a desirable aroma and balanced tastes but still fail because of a sandy texture, unsuitable viscosity, dryness, excessive greasiness, or an incorrect serving temperature.

The heat produced by chili is not a basic taste, nor is menthol cooling a “cold taste.” These are chemical stimuli detected largely through somatosensory pathways and the trigeminal system.

Temperature also affects the release of aromatic compounds and the intensity of tastes. Certain products may appear less sweet or less aromatic when served excessively cold. Higher temperatures may increase aroma release or reveal defects that were previously difficult to detect.

Serving temperature should therefore be recorded as part of the evaluation conditions rather than treated as a secondary detail.

Eleventh: Memory, Expectation, and Culture

People do not enter a tasting experience with minds free from previous experience. Color, name, price, presentation, the chef’s reputation, product identity, and cultural origin create expectations before consumption.

The color red may create an expectation of strawberry or cherry flavor. Describing a product as luxurious may raise expectations, while a familiar aroma may evoke a family memory or a previous positive or negative experience.

This does not mean that flavor is an illusion or disconnected from the properties of food. It means that perception arises through interaction between an external stimulus and a nervous system shaped by experience.

The evaluator does not record food like a neutral camera. Information is interpreted and compared with references stored in sensory memory.

This influence becomes particularly significant in professional judging when the judge knows:

- The competitor's name. The institution represented by the competitor.

- The competitor's nationality. The product's price. The brand. The competitor's order.

- The scores assigned by other judges.

Such information may create bias before sensory analysis begins. Whenever possible, samples should therefore be coded, unnecessary information concealed, and presentation order carefully controlled.

Twelfth: Personal Liking Is Not a Professional Judgment

Liking is a legitimate subjective response, but it is insufficient for professional judgment. A judge may dislike a particular taste even though it is technically correct, balanced, and appropriate to the identity of the dish.

Conversely, a judge may enjoy a dish because of memories or personal preferences despite the presence of technical defects.

The judge must distinguish among the following questions:

Did I like the dish? Are its sensory properties sound?

Does it correspond to the identity of its category? Are technical defects present?

Are the tastes balanced? Is the aroma natural and clean? Is the texture appropriate?

Is the serving temperature correct?

Is the score based on sensory evidence or personal impression?

The transition from saying “I liked it” to providing an explainable and documentable description represents the essence of professional sensory evaluation.

Thirteenth: Flavor Construction and Flavor Judgment Are Two Different Stages

The evaluation process involves two principal stages.

Stage One: Perceptual Construction

The brain integrates taste, aroma, texture, temperature, chemical sensation, memory, and expectation to construct the flavor experience.

Stage Two: Professional Judgment

The evaluator analyzes what has been perceived, describes its characteristics, and assesses its intensity, balance, purity, persistence, and conformity with the relevant standard.

The first stage may occur rapidly and automatically. The second requires training, sensory terminology, clear scales, reference standards, calibration, and bias control.

Sensitivity of the nose or tongue alone is insufficient to create a professional judge. A person may have a strong ability to detect odors but be unable to:

Identify the source of a sensation. Separate taste from aroma.

Distinguish intensity from quality. Describe texture accurately.

Recognize the effect of expectation. Compare the sample with the correct standard.

Reproduce a judgment under similar conditions.

Justify the score with understandable evidence.

Professional competence is not merely sensory strength. It also includes the ability to transform sensation into an organized and explainable judgment.

Fourteenth: Implications for the Design of Judging Forms

If flavor is a multisensory construction, placing the word “taste” in a single category and assigning it one general score without analysis is insufficient.

Depending on the type of product or competition, an evaluation form should include clear criteria such as:

Basic tastes and their balance. Orthonasal aroma before consumption.

Retronasal aroma during chewing. Aromatic development over time.

Texture and mouthfeel. Serving temperature. Chemical sensations.

Taste-aroma congruence. Flavor purity and absence of defects.

Persistence and finish. Conformity with the category’s identity. Overall balance.

The judge’s confidence in the decision.

This analytical separation does not eliminate holistic evaluation. It prevents the final score from becoming an unexplained impression.

The statement “the taste is excellent” does not provide sufficient evidence. A professional description might state:

The sample presents moderate sweetness and balanced acidity, followed by a distinct retronasal citrus aroma. The medium body supports aromatic persistence, but the low serving temperature delays aroma release and limits its initial intensity.

This description identifies the source of the sensation, its development, and its effect on the result.

Fifteenth: A Training Protocol for Deconstructing Flavor

Trainees can explore the relationship between the tongue and nose through a structured and safe exercise.

Stage One: Normal Evaluation

The trainee consumes an aromatic sample with the nasal pathway open and records:

Basic taste. Aromatic identity. Flavor intensity. Texture. Finish.

Stage Two: Temporary Restriction of the Nasal Pathway

The trainee consumes a comparable sample while safely and temporarily holding the nose closed, then records which sensations remain clear.

Stage Three: Releasing the Nose

The trainee releases the nose while the sample remains inside the mouth and observes the appearance or strengthening of aromatic identity.

Stage Four: Analysis The trainee distinguishes among:

Information detected by the gustatory system.

Information contributed by retronasal olfaction.

Information resulting from texture and temperature.

What was previously assumed to be one undivided “taste.”

This exercise is educational rather than diagnostic. It should not be performed by individuals with breathing or swallowing difficulties or any condition that makes temporary nasal occlusion inappropriate.

Sixteenth: Scientific Principles Derived From the Study

The Principle of the Tongue's Limitations

The tongue detects tastes but cannot independently determine the complete aromatic identity of food.

The Principle of Retronasal Identity

A substantial part of food identity emerges when volatile compounds travel from the mouth to the olfactory system through the posterior pathway.

The Principle of Oral Referral

The brain may attribute retronasal aroma to food inside the mouth, causing the aroma to appear taste-like.

The Principle of Multisensory Construction

Flavor results from the integration of taste, smell, texture, temperature, chemical sensation, memory, and expectation.

The Principle of Temporal Development

Flavor changes during chewing, warming, structural breakdown, swallowing, and exhalation. It must therefore be evaluated over time.

The Principle of Analytical Separation

Professional evaluators should separate the components of flavor during analysis and subsequently reintegrate them into a holistic judgment.

The Principle of Evidence-Based Judgment

A score becomes professional only when it is supported by specific sensory observations that can be explained and reviewed.

Seventeenth: Limits of the Study and Scientific Interpretation

This study does not claim that the tongue is unimportant, deny the existence of basic tastes, or consider taste and smell to be anatomically one sense.

It also:

- Does not reduce flavor to smell alone.

- Does not assume that every reduction in flavor is caused by the nose.

- Does not consider taste-odor interactions identical among all individuals.

- Does not separate perception from the actual properties of food.

- Does not transform the conceptual model into a diagnostic equation.

- Does not replace standardized sensory testing or medical examination.

- Does not allow personal preference to be presented as objective fact.

The central idea is that the unity of the experience does not imply unity of its source. Flavor may appear to be one sensation even though it arises from multiple signals integrated by the brain into a coherent entity.

Conclusion

The tongue is not a complete laboratory for flavor but one of its principal gateways. It detects basic tastes and contributes to identifying certain chemical properties of food, while retronasal olfaction provides much of food's aromatic identity.

Oral sensation contributes texture, temperature influences compound release and perceptual intensity, and chemical sensory systems contribute heat, cooling, tingling, and pungency. Memory, expectation, language, and culture then participate in interpreting these signals.

Flavor is therefore not a point located on the tongue. It is a multisensory neural and perceptual event. It does not emerge in its final form until the brain integrates its components and gives them a unified identity.

This leads to a fundamental principle in tasting education and professional judging:

A judge cannot evaluate flavor with the tongue alone because the object being evaluated is not an isolated taste. It is an integrated sensory construction produced through multiple systems and given its final meaning by the brain.

Professional training should not begin and end with memorizing the names of basic tastes. It should teach evaluators how to deconstruct flavor into its sensory sources, understand its development inside the mouth, distinguish sensation from preference, and reconstruct their observations as a clear, balanced, explainable, documentable, and reproducible judgment.